

Extension Risk Management Education Competitive Grants Program

Risk Management Education for Producers Underserved by Crop Insurance

2021 Request for Applications

Application Deadline: November 19, 2020



NORTH CENTRAL
EXTENSION
RISK
MANAGEMENT
EDUCATION



EXTENSION



United States
Department
of Agriculture

National Institute
of Food
and Agriculture

**NORTH CENTRAL EXTENSION RISK MANAGEMENT EDUCATION
CENTER**

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS

**FUNDING OPPORTUNITY TITLE: RISK MANAGEMENT EDUCATION
FOR PRODUCERS UNDERSERVED BY CROP INSURANCE
COMPETITIVE GRANTS PROGRAM**

ANNOUNCEMENT TYPE: Request for Applications (RFA)

Dates: Applications must be submitted to Extension Risk Management’s Results Verification System (RVS) website by 5:00:59 PM Central Standard Time (CST) on November 19, 2020. Applications received after this deadline will not be considered for funding.

Summary: The four regional Extension Risk Management Education (ERME) Centers request applications for the Extension Risk Management Education Competitive Grants Program. This announcement seeks applications from eligible organizations with a demonstrated capacity to develop and deliver results/outcome-based risk management education and training programs to agricultural producers and their families underserved by the Federal crop insurance program.

Funding/Awards: The four regional Extension Risk Management Education Centers anticipate awarding grants, subject to the availability of funds and the quality of applications received. No award may exceed \$100,000 and/or 18 months duration.

Contents of this Announcement:

- I. Funding Opportunity Description
- II. Award Information
- III. Eligibility Information
- IV. Application and Submission Information
- V. Application Review Information

- VI. Award Administration Information
- VII. Program Contacts
- VIII. Appendix A
- IX. Appendix B

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Legislative Authority and Background

The Agricultural Risk Protection Act (ARPA) of 2000, Public Law 106-224 authorized the Secretary of USDA, acting through the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (the successor agency to CSREES – the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service), herein referred to as NIFA, to carry out the program Partnerships for Risk Management Education. Under this authority NIFA partners with four regional Extension Risk Management Education (ERME) Centers to carry out a national competitive grants program in Risk Management Education to educate agricultural producers about the full range of risk management activities. Community-based organizations, higher education institutions and eligible tribal entities can play a critical role with training that provides decision tools, practices and other risk management strategies that producers can adopt to improve their economic viability.

Section 11125 of the *Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018* provides authority and funding of \$5 million for the USDA NIFA to expand the Partnerships for Risk Management Education program to serve a new audience, defined as “producers that are underserved by the Federal crop insurance program established under this subtitle, as determined by the Corporation [the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation].” (See 7 U.S.C. § 1524(a)(3)(E) as amended). Implementing this expanded program through the existing ERME program provides an opportunity to serve this new audience and ensure integrity of the program, compliance with farm bill language and intent, and awarding of funds to the most highly qualified entities to deliver this work.

Section 11108 of the same Act provides further guidance on the definition of producers underserved by crop insurance by amending the definition of underserved states to include consideration on a crop-by-crop basis. In addition, the language specifically defines an underserved producer (including a member of an Indian Tribe) to be an individual that is a beginning farmer or rancher, a veteran farmer or rancher, or a socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher. (See 7 U.S.C. § 1508(a)(7) as amended.) Further language in the Conference Report accompanying the legislation suggests Congressional intent to include users of dairy risk management policies or plans for coverage among the educational programs for underserved producers.

In accordance with the referenced language and intent, the ERME program intends to carry out this funding opportunity to deliver risk management strategies, education, and outreach specifically directed to producers (including a member of an Indian Tribe) that are underserved by the Federal crop insurance program, including but not limited to:

1. beginning farmers or ranchers;
2. veteran farmers or ranchers;
3. socially disadvantaged farmers or ranchers; and
4. farmers and ranchers using dairy risk management policies or plans for coverage.

B. Stakeholder Input

The four regional ERME Centers welcome comments, suggestions and/or recommendations regarding this RFA from any interested party. These comments will be considered in the development of the next RFA for the Extension Risk Management Education Competitive Grants Program. Written stakeholder comments should be submitted to the ERME Center in their region.

C. Making Application to More Than One Region

Multi-regional projects are permitted. An applicant seeking funding from more than one region must submit a separate application to each regional ERME Center for which their project is relevant. Letters of commitment must demonstrate a strong level of commitment and collaboration for the successful execution of a multi-regional project. Each ERME Center Review Panel will make the funding decision for the application submitted to their region independently of the other regions to which the application was submitted. Please read the Application Resources (<http://extensionrme.org/Resources.aspx#RVS>) for guidance on applications being submitted to more than one region.

D. Purpose and Priorities

Extension Risk Management Education Objectives

Risk management involves taking a deliberate and knowledgeable approach to dealing with one or more of the five primary sources of agricultural risk. This expanded ERME program aspires to enhance farm and ranch profitability and economic viability by enabling producers underserved by crop insurance to successfully manage these risks. Proposed educational programming should align with one or more of the five areas of risk.

1. **Production Risk** - Any production-related activity or event with a range of possible outcomes which could limit ag producers' ability to achieve their financial goals is a production risk.
2. **Marketing Risk** - Marketing is the part of a farm business that transforms production activities into financial success. Marketing risk is any market related activity or event that leads to the variability of prices ag producers receive for their products or pay for production inputs.
3. **Financial Risk** - Financial risk encompasses those risks that threaten the financial health of the business, including: 1) Capital cost and availability; 2) Ability to meet on-time cash flow needs; 3) Ability to maintain and grow equity; and 4) Ability to absorb short-term financial shocks.
4. **Legal Risk** - Legal risk involves commitments that have legal implications, such as production activities that fail to take appropriate safety precautions, marketing products which can involve contract laws and human issues dealing

with employer/employee rules.

5. **Human Risk** - Human risk management is the ability to keep all people who are involved in the business safe, satisfied and productive such as: a) Human health and well-being; b) Family and business relationships; c) Employee management; and d) Transition planning

Key objectives are to help producers who are underserved by crop insurance understand the risks inherent in their operation, the methods and tools available to mitigate these risks, how to effectively use these methods and tools, and how to manage risk by implementing these methods and tools. Applications must articulate the primary source(s) of risk faced by their target audience and develop training activities that will help those producers take specific actions that generate measurable results from managing these risks.

Project results may include strategies other than crop insurance; however, the educational focus should align with an enhanced understanding and use of crop insurance tools. Examples include recordkeeping, financial literacy, or marketing, as well as risk management strategies to use when a crop insurance program is not available or not applicable.

Crop insurance education is a broad topic and as such is associated with each of ERME's five risk areas. Examples of crop insurance educational topics include but are not limited to:

- Covered and non-covered crops;
- Cover crops;
- Importance of risk management strategies for perennial/specialty/organic crops;
- Prevented planting provisions;
- Understanding policy selections;
- Understanding price and coverage levels;
- Importance of unit selection;
- Whole Farm Revenue Protection;
- Livestock policies;
- Online crop insurance resources and tools;
- Grain/crop marketing;
- Financial recordkeeping;
- Production recordkeeping;
- Benchmarking and crop planning;
- Sales closing and acreage reporting deadlines;
- Written agreements where crop insurance is not available;
- Production history and its relevance to crop insurance;
- Types of insurance policies and options within each type;
- Filing a claim;
- Relationship building with insurance agents and other ag influencers;
- Dairy risk management policies.

E. Criteria for Evaluation

Projects funded under this announcement will be evaluated on six major criteria: 1) Proposed Results; 2) Regional Priorities; 3) Results Verification; 4) Underserved Audience; 5) Team/Organizational Capacity and Collaborators; 6) Innovative Approach and Wide Application. Each of these is weighted in its relative importance. Section V., B. of this RFA fully defines the criteria and identifies what the review panel will consider in their evaluations. Each section of the online application system must be completed, and will be used to evaluate the six major criteria.

F. Regional ERME Center Priorities

The **North Central Extension Risk Management Education Center (North Central Center)** serves Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. RFAs have been concurrently released for each regional ERME Center – please visit the respective websites for additional information (www.extensionrme.org).

This RFA is specifically designated for the delivery of risk management education to agricultural producers underserved by crop insurance, including users of dairy risk management policies or programs.

In general, projects are expected to focus on producers that are underserved by crop insurance and how they are underserved or the risks they face due to being underserved by crop insurance. In addition, the North Central Center also prioritizes some risks and issues related to crop insurance utilization that merit particular attention, including a) financial management and resiliency; b) risk management for livestock producers; c) small, beginning, or diversified operations; d) noninsurable risks; and e) educational projects with mixed/multiple delivery methods.

Financial Management and Resiliency

Many crop (and livestock) insurance products can serve as collateral for farm or ranch borrowers. Crop (and livestock) insurance can also play a key role in helping the farm or ranch manage financial challenges or stress, make effective marketing decisions, and become more financially resilient. The Center encourages projects that address the role of crop (and livestock) insurance in helping farmers or ranchers build and implement effective financial management plans or strategies.

Risk Management for Livestock Producers

There are a number of insurance products and related risk management tools specifically available to livestock and dairy producers, including:

- Pasture, Rangeland, and Forage (PRF) and other forage insurance products;
- Livestock Risk Protection (LRP) and Livestock Gross Margin (LGM) coverage;
- Dairy Risk Protection (DRP) and LGM for dairy as well as the related Dairy Margin Coverage (DMC) program; and
- Other insurance products and other production, marketing, and related risk management tools appropriate for livestock and dairy producers.

The Center encourages projects that help livestock producers understand these various products and how they can fit in a producer's risk management plans.

Small, Beginning, or Diversified Operations

Producers that do not have an established history of raising traditional commodities with long-established, widely-available crop insurance products can find it difficult to make crop insurance an effective part of their risk management portfolio. Whether due to a lack of production history, a lack of crop-specific insurance coverage, a diversified mix of crops and livestock enterprises, a value-added direct marketing strategy that means standard commodity price protection is insufficient, or other reasons, producers may find crop (and livestock insurance) less than effective in protecting their risks. The Center is interested in projects that address one or more of these varied producer audiences or needs and that help producers assess potential tools such as Whole Farm Revenue Protection (WFRP), the Noninsured Crop Disaster Assistance Program (NAP), or other relevant insurance tools and related risk management strategies.

Noninsurable Risks

Helping producers utilize available crop (and livestock) insurance tools is a primary goal of the RFA. However, some risks, including herbicide drift and crop contamination may be uninsurable with existing tools, yet may have significant production, market, financial, and even legal implications. The Center is interested in projects that address noninsurable risks, helping producers manage the risks appropriately with relevant tools and strategies.

Educational Projects with Mixed/Multiple Delivery Methods

While applicants are asked in the RFA to consider contingency plans for proposed projects in the event of unexpected program interruptions, there is also value in developing educational projects that use mixed or multiple delivery methods as a primary educational strategy. The Center is particularly interested in applications across the range of risk management topics that utilize mixed or multiple delivery methods to reach a wider range of producers that vary in terms of program or learning preferences, availability, access, or other factors.

II. Award Information

A. Statutory Authority

The statutory authority for this action is Section 133 of the Agricultural Risk Protection Act (ARPA) of 2000, Pub. L.106-224 that authorizes award funding for projects designed to address the risk management education needs of producers.

B. Expected Amount of Funding

The maximum amount of requested funding shall not exceed \$100,000.

C. Expected Number of Awards

Each regional ERME Center anticipates awarding approximately 7-8 new grants from this announcement, subject to the availability of funds and the quality of applications received.

D. Project Period

The estimated project period for awards will be 18 months beginning on **April 1, 2021** and completed no later than **September 30, 2022**.

E. Award Type

The funding for selected projects will be in the form of a sub-award agreement. Each regional ERME Center will determine the precise terms and conditions defining contractual obligations that are a part of the award process and will include, but may not be limited to the following:

1. Approval of your final budget and narrative that is part of the application submission.
2. Compliance with Uniform Guidance (UG).
3. Monitoring your (organization's) performance through quarterly and final reports.
4. Reviewing federal funds spent on requests for reimbursement (invoices).

F. Project Director Training

All Project Directors (PDs) receiving an ERME grant award are required to attend a Project Directors' Training. Each ERME Center will conduct a separate training meeting for their respective regions. This year, the North Central Center will conduct an online Project Director training webinar in Spring 2021 for the successfully funded projects. If training attendance is not possible, the PD must contact their regional Center in order to receive the required information and fulfill the contractual obligations of the grant. Regional Centers will share additional information following the award announcements.

III. Eligibility Information

A. Eligible Entities

1. Any public or private organization that has demonstrated experience in providing agricultural education or other agriculturally related training to producers including forestland owners. This includes, but is not limited to:
 - An 1862, 1890, or 1994 land grant institution, or other institutions of higher education, including community colleges, that deliver agricultural education.
 - An Indian tribal community college, an Alaska Native cooperative college, an Indian tribe, or a national tribal organization.
 - A Hispanic-serving institution of higher education, agricultural organizations, agencies, or other entities.
 - Not for profit organizations, state departments of agriculture or community-based organizations.

2. Individuals are NOT eligible applicants.

B. Qualifying Criteria for Applications

Any applications that are non-compliant with the following requirements will be at risk of being excluded from review. Applicants disqualified on these grounds will be notified via email.

1. Applications must comply with the submission instructions and content requirements set forth in this announcement, including the Required Documents Section (IV-B, Item 13).
2. Any application that arrives later than 5:00:59 PM CST on **November 19, 2020** will be disqualified from competition.
3. Applications must be submitted via the online Results Verification System through the applicant's regional ERME Center website, except in extenuating circumstances as determined and documented by that Center.
4. Maximum amount of funding may not exceed \$100,000.

C. Cost-Sharing or Matching

ERME does **not** allow matching support for this program.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Form of Application Submission

All applications must be submitted through the Extension Risk Management online Results Verification System (RVS). First time applicants will be required to register in the RVS through their respective regional ERME Center's website. If an applicant experiences technical difficulty with the RVS, they may contact their regional ERME Center to obtain an alternate method of electronic submission (i.e., email).

All applicants will be notified, by e-mail, with confirmation of receipt of the application by ERME RVS.

B. Content of Application Package Submission

Applicants must complete the sections described below. Applicants are advised to review components/requirements of the online application system prior to constructing their application.

1. **Project Overview** – Describe: (i) the risk management education subject topic(s) the proposed project will cover; (ii) the methods used to deliver the project; (iii) the target audience, including the geographical location; (iv) the number of workshops or educational activities; (v) the risk management results producers are expected to achieve through participation in the project; and (vi) the estimated number of producers who will achieve these results. It is critical that there is a logical connection between the Project Overview and the Proposed Results section of your application.

2. **Underserved Audience** – Applicants must be able to define the producers that are underserved by the Federal crop insurance program and describe why there is a low level of crop insurance participation and availability; why existing crop insurance products do not provide an effective risk management tool; or why these producer types are not normally reached through typical risk management tools or education offerings. This includes the underserved producer groups identified on pages 3-4 of the RFA. Applicants should also be able to demonstrate how their audience will achieve access and participation through the proposed risk management education.
3. **Proposed Results** – (i) Identify each risk management result/outcome that you want producers underserved by crop insurance to understand, analyze, develop, decide, or implement; (ii) identify, for each result, the risk area, risk management topic, participant action, and the number of producers you anticipate will achieve that action/result; and (iii) identify verification/performance measures for tracking producer achievement of each of the proposed risk management results.
4. **Project Steps** – Describe a **logical progression** of the project’s plan of work that will lead your target audience to achieve the proposed results. Map out the timeline for each task/project step to be accomplished during the proposed award period. Each project step needs to identify actions the **project team** will take to help participants accomplish the proposed risk management results and where applicable, actions that participants will take toward achieving these results.
5. **Project Results Narrative** – Describe the risk management issue(s) this project addresses and how it will help producers underserved by crop insurance improve their economic viability. It is critical this section: (i) identifies the primary source(s) of risk for the target audience; (ii) demonstrates the education and/or training methods are appropriate; and (iii) discusses the potential and/or anticipated economic impact of this project upon the producers being trained. If applicable, discuss how the project addresses the regional priorities.
 - a. Applicants should discuss their ability to be flexible with a contingency plan for delivering proposed training activities and adjusting evaluation methods in the event of unexpected program interruption. Please note that additional project steps related to a contingency plan should not be listed within this application. However, discussing innovative program delivery alternatives in the case of unexpected program interruption is encouraged as part of your project narrative.

6. **Audience Emphasis** – Identify the farmer and rancher audiences the project will target. Check up to 3 primary audience groups to whom the project will be delivered.
7. **Organizational Capacity** – Describe your organization’s history and experience delivering risk management education programs to underserved producers. Describe your organizational capacity to lead results/outcome-based projects; include the skills, knowledge and experience of your team members. Indicate the capability of the Project Director (Co-Project Director) to achieve the program purpose and priorities stated in this funding announcement, including evidence of solid working relationships within the agricultural community and/or with producers underserved by crop insurance. Applicants demonstrating experience in providing agriculture training with the capacity to lead a program on risk management education for the target audience are preferred.
8. **Project Team** – List the Project Director, (Co-Project Director) and project team members (team members are people who will actively participate in the development, delivery and management of the project, whether from your organization or not). List: (i) each person’s name; (ii) organization; (iii) email address; (iv) telephone number; and (v) their role and responsibility in the project.
9. **Project Collaborators** – Collaborators are outside people or organizations who will bring expertise or provide access to your target audience, but who are not involved in the day-to-day management of the project. List: (i) each collaborator name; (ii) organization; (iii) email address; (iv) telephone number; (v) their role and responsibility in the project and (vi) upload their letter of commitment. Letter must clearly state their contributing role, expertise, and/or knowledge that will support the delivery of proposed results. Letters must be signed and dated and addressed to the Regional Center’s Director, but delivered to applicant for inclusion with the application. Applicants must upload the letters as PDF documents. **Form letters are discouraged.**
10. **Tools and Curriculum** – Describe the educational tools and/or curriculum your project will develop or utilize to train producers; include software, manuals, worksheets, notebooks and/or other educational resources and tools. Describe the delivery methodology and why it is appropriate for the underserved audience.
11. **Budget** – Enter the total amount of funds requested. A separate, detailed, budget form must be uploaded to the application as a required document. The budget form is available for download in the online application system and detailed instructions for each line item are available in Appendix A to this RFA (Budget and Budget Narrative Instructions).

- a. **Indirect Costs** – Indirect costs allowed for projects submitted under this RFA may not exceed the lesser of the institution’s official federally negotiated indirect cost rate or 30% of the total Federal funds awarded. If your organization does not have a federally negotiated indirect cost rate, an F&A rate of 10% Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC) will be allowed.
 - b. **Proposed or Pending Applications** – Identify the source and amount of funding, if any, received for this project; and/or funding pending from other sources.

- 12. **Budget Narrative** – Enter your Budget Narrative information directly into the online application system. Identify and describe costs associated with each budget line item amount; include sub-awards or sub-contracts, consultants, and indirect costs. Detailed instructions are available in: (i) Appendix A to this RFA (Budget and Budget Narrative Instructions); (ii) the online application Instructions; and (iii) Application Resources (<http://extensionrme.org/Resources.aspx#RVS>).

- 13. **Required Documents** – All submitted applications must contain a completed and signed ERME Cover Sheet, and an ERME Budget Form. Letters of commitment from project collaborators, consultants and sub-awards should be uploaded in the Project Team or Project Collaborators sections.
 - a. **ERME Cover Sheet (SF424)** - Is a fillable PDF template that must be completed and signed by applicant organization’s authorized representative or fiscal agent. Applicants must upload as a PDF document.
 - b. **ERME Budget Form** - Is a fillable PDF template that must be completed and uploaded as a PDF document.

C. Sub-awards and Consultants

Funding may be used to provide sub-awards not to exceed 49 percent of the requested budget. All sub-awardees must comply with applicable requirements for sub-awards. Detailed instructions for sub-awards and consultants are available in Appendix A to this RFA (Budget and Budget Narrative Instructions) and in the online application Instructions.

D. Submission Dates and Times

We recommend that you conduct a thorough review of the application before submission via the Results Verification System. A checklist is included in Appendix B of this RFA to assist with the review. Applications must be submitted to the RVS no later than 5:00:59 PM CST on **November 19, 2020**. Email confirmation of receipt will be sent to all applicants.

E. Confidential Information

In accordance with 7CFR 2500.017, the names of entities submitting applications, as well as application contents and evaluations, except those involved in the review process, will be kept confidential to the extent permissible by law. If an applicant chooses to include confidential or proprietary information in the application, it will be treated in confidence to the extent permitted by law, provided that the information is clearly marked by the applicant with the term “confidential and proprietary information.”

F. Pre-Submission Application Assistance

1. Links to assist in the application process and to access application resources will be provided on each regional ERME Center’s website.
2. Each regional ERME Center will respond to questions from individual applicants regarding eligibility criteria, administrative issues related to the submission of the application, and requests for clarification about the announcement. Such questions should be submitted to the appropriate regional ERME Center. **Regional ERME Centers may not assist individual applicants by reviewing draft applications.**

G. RFA Definitions

A list of definitions for terms found in this RFA, as they relate to the ERME Program, is available in the RVS Resources page (<http://extensionrme.org/Resources.aspx#RVS>).

V. Application Review Information

A. Evaluation Criteria

Applications that meet the threshold criteria in Section III of this announcement will be reviewed according to the evaluation criteria set forth below.

Applications are evaluated on their strengths and weaknesses relative to the merits of all other applications. Final funding decisions will be made based on the final rankings and recommendation of each regional ERME Center’s review panel. Applicants will be notified of funding decisions no later than **February 12, 2021**.

B. Evaluation Criteria for Applications

Criteria	Weight
1. Proposed Results: ERME will evaluate the extent to which the proposed risk management results include clear statements of what you anticipate producers underserved by crop insurance will understand, analyze, develop, decide, or implement through participation in the project; how realistic and attainable estimated producer numbers are; and the relative level of rigor	40

associated with the progression of producer actions - from understanding to implementation.	
2. Regional Priorities: ERME will evaluate the degree to which proposed results address regional priorities as identified in the RFA.	10
3. Results Verification: ERME will evaluate the effectiveness of the applicant's plan for evaluating and tracking producer achievement of the proposed risk management results.	10
4. Underserved Audience: Applicants will be evaluated based on their ability to define: (i) who the producers are that are underserved by crop insurance; (ii) why there is a low level of crop insurance participation and availability; (iii) why existing crop insurance products do not provide an effective risk management tool; and (iv) why these producer types are not normally reached through other risk management tools or education offerings; this may include targeted underserved producer groups as identified in the RFA. Applicants will also be evaluated on whether they can demonstrate how this audience will achieve access and participation through the proposed risk management education.	20
5. Team/Organizational Capacity and Collaborators: ERME will attribute equal value to: (i) evaluating the skills, knowledge and experience of the project team and organization to effectively deliver risk management education projects and meet the needs of producers underserved by crop insurance; and (ii) evaluating how well-engaged collaborating public or private organizations will increase the likelihood of farmer/rancher participation; which includes a commitment to recruit producers underserved by crop insurance.	15
6. Innovative Approach and Wide Application: ERME will evaluate: (i) the extent to which the project employs innovative approaches to develop or deliver training, materials, or tools – how it is a totally unique and innovative project; and (ii) the extent to which the proposed project might have wide application; including adapting materials to specialized audiences, marketing	5

and promotion techniques, eliciting high interest in crop insurance strategies with new methodologies, or other ideas that would enable the project to have wide application.	
---	--

C. Selection of Reviewers

Reviewers are selected based upon training and experience in relevant agricultural fields. Reviewers are drawn from a diverse group of experts to create balance in terms of gender, ethnicity, age and professional expertise.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

Following evaluation of applications, all applicants will be notified regarding their status.

1. **Application Notifications and Feedback:** ERME anticipates notification of successful and unsuccessful applicants via email or postal mail by **February 12, 2021**. This notification will be sent only to the Project Director. Applicant feedback will be provided using the procedures established in the Operational Guidelines for the regional ERME Centers.

- a. **Successful Applicants:** ERME will advise the applicant that their proposed project has been successfully evaluated and recommended for funding. This notification is not an authorization to begin work. The sub-award agreement is the authorizing document and will be provided to each awardee organization electronically or via postal mail. All sub-award agreements will be finalized by **April 1, 2021**; however, situations may arise over which the regional ERME Center has no control, and which could delay this date.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

A listing and description of general federal regulation and cost principles applicable to sub-award agreements under this RFA are available in Appendix A to the RFA (Budget and Budget Narrative Instructions).

C. DUNS Number and SAM Registration

In accordance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) and the USDA implementation, all applicants must obtain and provide an identifying number from Dun and Bradstreet's Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS). Applicants can receive a DUNS number, at no cost, by calling the toll-free DUNS Number request line at 1-866-705-5711, or visiting the D&B website at www.dnb.com.

In addition, FFATA requires applicants to register with the System for Award Management (SAM), formerly known as the Central Contractor Registry (CCR). This

registration must be maintained and updated annually. Applicants can register or update their profile, at no cost, by visiting the SAM website at www.sam.gov.

D. Reporting Requirements

The following reporting requirements will apply to awards provided under this RFA. ERME reserves the right to revise the schedule and format of reporting requirements as necessary in the sub-award agreement.

Award recipients must submit their reports online via the Results Verification System website – the same system where the Application was submitted. The Proposed Results and Project Steps sections of the Application are auto-populated in the online reporting system. A complete set of reporting instructions is provided in the RVS.

1. **Quarterly Progress Reports:** Quarterly Progress reports are due on the dates specified by the respective regional ERME Center, to be determined, but consistent across Centers. Additional progress reports may be submitted or report information entered at any time throughout the project period.
2. **Invoices (Financial Reports):** Invoices must be submitted quarterly, but may be submitted monthly.
3. **Final Report:** The Final Report is due within the time frame directed by each regional ERME Center and must demonstrate that producers achieved the risk management results identified in the Application. After the Final Report has been approved by the regional ERME Center, it becomes a public document available for viewing through the national ERME website: <http://www.ExtensionRME.org>.
4. **Final Invoice:** A Final Invoice must be submitted to the regional ERME Center within the time frame directed by the Center. Final payment may be withheld until all reporting requirements are met.

VII. Program Contacts

Southern Extension Risk Management Education Center

University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture

Ron Rainey, Director
2301 South University Ave
Little Rock, AR 72204

Contact: Erica B. Fields at 501-671-2146 or efields@uaex.edu

Northeast Extension Risk Management Education Center

University of Delaware

Laurie Wolinski, Director
112 Townsend Hall
Newark, DE 19716-2130

Contact: Susan Olson at 302-831-6540 or sbolson@udel.edu

North Central Extension Risk Management Education Center

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Brad Lubben, Director
303 Filley Hall
Lincoln, NE 68583

Contact: Christine Lockert at 402-472-2039 or clockert2@unl.edu

Western Extension Risk Management Education Center

Washington State University Extension

Shannon Neibergs, Director
Jo Ann Warner, Associate Director
222 N. Havana
Spokane, WA 99202

Contact: Jo Ann Warner at 509-477-2168 or warnerj@wsu.edu
Heather Schriver at 541-891-9190 or hschriver@wsu.edu

Appendix A

Extension Risk Management Education Competitive Grants Program

BUDGET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE INSTRUCTIONS

The Extension Risk Management Education Centers review proposed project costs to make certain those costs are reasonable to the project and allowable per the following federal statutes and regulations that apply to project grants awarded under this program. The statutes include but are not limited to:

- **2 CFR, Part 200** – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.

Copies of the applicable Code of Federal Regulations and USDA Federal Assistance Regulations may be found at the following web-site: <https://nifa.usda.gov/federal-regulations>

To assist in this review, as well as to expedite the award process, applications are required to have both a budget and budget narrative detailing the various line items. The categories listed below are examples of some of the more common items found in project budgets. All items should be described in the narrative in sufficient detail to enable the Centers to determine that the costs are reasonable and allowable for the project per the regulations.

BUDGET SECTIONS A & B:

Salaries – Show the number of work months that will be charged to the project for which salary is paid by USDA [e.g., two project directors on a 12 month project – one will spend 100% of time (12 months) and one will spend 50% of time (6 Months); total work months would be 18 months]. List the funded months in either Academic and Summer or Calendar months depending on the academic appointment of the person. Non-academic institutions would report salary months under the Calendar category.

Profit and Non-Profit organizations salary rates of pay should not exceed an Executive Level IV salary range (\$170,800/year or \$82.11/hour, effective January 2020). This rate does not include any fringe benefits, G&A, overhead, or other expenses. Rates exceeding this amount must be documented and justified as to why the person or persons command a higher salary rate. Information on future Executive Level IV salary rates may be found at the Office of Personnel Management website: <http://opm.gov>. Click on “Policy”, “Pay & Leave”, then “Salaries and Wages”.

Please note that only persons employed by the recipient organization should be listed in this category. Those employed elsewhere would be listed as subcontractors or consultants in the “Other Direct Costs” (ODC) category.

Secretarial and Clerical Salaries – The salaries of administrative and clerical staff should normally be treated as indirect (F&A) costs. Direct charging of these costs may be appropriate only if all of the following conditions are met: 1) Administrative or clerical services are integral

to a project or activity; 2) Individuals involved can be specifically identified with the project or activity; 3) Such costs are explicitly included in the budget or have the prior written approval of the Federal awarding agency; and 4) The costs are not also recovered as indirect costs.

Fringe Benefits – Show the total of allowable fringe benefits.

BUDGET SECTION C:

Equipment – The purchase of equipment is not allowed under the program. Equipment is defined as an article of equipment equal to or in excess of \$5,000 and having a useful life of more than one year. See Budget Section F.6. for possible alternatives.

BUDGET SECTION D:

Travel – Domestic travel (includes Canada, Mexico and U.S. Possessions). Provide information used in estimating the cost such as: the destination if known, purpose, number of travelers, and estimated cost per trip (e.g., St. Louis, Missouri to attend a conference, 2 persons - \$1,000 ea.). This includes all travel paid directly by the grantee organization.

Use the GSA Domestic Per Diem Rate Schedule if you are unsure of the maximum rates allowable for specific locations. Travel and subsistence should be in accordance with organizational policy. Regardless of the organizational policy, allowances for airfare will not normally exceed round trip jet economy air accommodations.

BUDGET SECTION E:

Participant/Trainee Support Costs – If you are conducting a train-the-trainer project and/or your project has a train-the-trainer component, please consult with your regional Center to determine how these costs should be listed.

BUDGET SECTION F:

Other Direct Costs (ODC) are those anticipated direct project charges not included in other budget categories. A description and cost must be included in the budget narrative for each item. Items in ODC should be listed with the corresponding dollar amounts, along with an explanation of the costs provided in the Budget Narrative. Examples of items are:

1. Materials and Supplies – List materials and supplies with estimated costs. This could include items such as office supplies, software, educational or field supplies. For items that are not typical materials & supplies, a detailed narrative of how the item fits the program must be included.

2. Publication Costs – This line is associated with costs related to the publishing of articles in a scientific or technical journal or other type of field/program related publication and is not allowed under this program. Printing of program materials should be included under “Materials and Supplies”. Photocopying costs should be included under the “Other” category.

3. Consultants – A consultant is someone who renders expert advice in his/her field. The consultant should not be affiliated with the performing organization. This line item in the applicant budget narrative should include the name of the consultant and his/her organization, and a breakdown of the amount being charged to the project (e.g., number of days of service, rate of pay per hour, travel, per diem, etc.). See Sections A&B, Salaries for allowable rates of pay. Each consultant must provide the following documentation to be uploaded as part of the application: 1) Signed Letter of Commitment that also outlines their Scope of Work, rate of pay, # of hours to be paid, travel, etc.; and 2) An abbreviated resume or vita no more than 2 pages in length. These documents should be combined into a complete package and uploaded as a PDF in the Project Team or Project Collaborators section of the application.

4. Computer (ADP) Services – Computer services are not allowed under the program. This represents the charges associated with the organization’s shared computer system (i.e., line charges or computer processing usage costs). Charges for Internet access may be included in this category. Equipment purchases should be listed under “Materials and Supplies” as appropriate.

5. Sub-awards/Consortium/Contractual Costs – When a portion of the work proposed will be performed by outside sources, funding may be used to provide sub-awards not to exceed 49 percent of the total funds awarded. All sub-awardees must comply with applicable requirements for sub-awards. The level of detail required for the sub-award budget is the same as the applicant organization. See Sections A&B, Salaries for allowable rates of pay. Please note that any limitation or prohibition of indirect costs on the Program also applies to the sub-awards. This line item in the budget narrative of the applicant organization should list the total amount of funding that will go to the sub-award. The sub-award organization must provide the following documentation to be uploaded as part of the application: 1) Letter of Commitment signed by the authorizing representative that also includes a clear Scope of Work outlining their portion of the work to be completed; 2) Separate Budget for their portion of the funding; and 3) A detailed Budget Narrative supporting their portion of the budget. These documents should be combined into a complete package and uploaded as a PDF in the Project Team or Project Collaborators section of the application.

6. Equipment/Facility Rentals/User Fees –

a. **Equipment Rental** – When there is a need to rent equipment for use on the project, provide information regarding the type of equipment to be rented, the purpose or use on the project, the length of time needed, and the rental rate.

b. **Facility** – When it is necessary to rent office or other facility spaces for project implementation, and the space(s) are located off-site from the organization’s main facility in space not owned by the recipient organization, the cost of the rent may be charged against the award if the space is used specifically for the project. The budget narrative should provide details on the normal monthly rental charge and how the rent is pro-rated to the project.

7. **Alterations/Renovations** – Alterations/Renovations are not allowed under the program.

8. **Other** – For other costs not specifically listed above. Identify and provide details of the costs involved. Some of the more common items included in this category are:

a. **Communications** – Mailings, postage, express mail, faxes, and telephone long distance charges. Specific dollar amounts are not required.

b. **Photocopying** – In-house photocopying of materials associated with the program. Photocopying should not be confused with Publications/Page Charges which are costs related to publishing in a journal or commercial printing of brochures and other materials. Specific dollar amounts may not be required.

c. **Service or Maintenance Contracts** – Costs should be in direct correlation to the use of the equipment for the project (i.e., if a particular machine is used 50% of the time for the project, the project should only be charged 50% of the service/maintenance costs). Provide details of the type of equipment and the amount of the service contract to be paid from Federal funds.

d. **Conferences/Meetings** – Costs of holding a conference or meeting are included in this category. Some examples are the rental of facilities and equipment for the meeting, honorariums or fees for trainers or guest speakers, and travel and per diem for participants and speakers. Details of costs for each conference or meeting should be broken out and provided in the budget narrative.

Meals may not be charged as project costs when individuals decide to go to breakfast, lunch, or dinner together when no need exists for continuity of a meeting. Such activity is considered to be an entertainment cost. In contrast, it is NIFA policy that a formal group meeting being conducted in a business atmosphere may charge meals to the project if such activity maintains the continuity of the meeting and to do otherwise will impose arduous conditions on the meeting participants. Breakfast meals are generally not allowable because no continuity of the meeting exists.

e. **Speaker/Trainer Fees** – Information on speakers should include the fee and a description of the services they are providing.

f. **Honorariums** – Honorariums to persons providing a service are allowable. Provide information regarding the honorarium amount (rate of pay) and a brief statement regarding what the person is doing to earn the honorarium.

BUDGET SECTION H:

Indirect Costs – Indirect costs on awards supported by USDA/NIFA under this program may not exceed the lesser of the institution's official federally negotiated indirect cost rate or 30% of the total Federal funds awarded. If your organization does not have a federally negotiated indirect cost rate, an F&A rate of 10% Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC) will be allowed. The

budget narrative should identify the percentage rate used when calculating the total indirect costs.

Other Items of Note:

Cost Sharing/Matching – This program does not allow cost share or matching funds.

UNALLOWABLE COSTS

The following is a list of selected items of costs which are usually unallowable. This list is not all inclusive. For more information on whether costs are allowable, please refer to 2 CFR 200.420-475 General Provisions for Selected Items of Cost, in the Uniform Guidance:

<http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=7fd8111fdee41b7ba10ab3f5670adc25&node=2:1.1.2.2.1.5&rgn=div6>

- Alcoholic beverages
- Entertainment costs
- Promotional give away items such as tote bags, coffee mugs, t-shirts, etc.
- Incentives such as gift certificates, cash, etc. given to entice participation in meetings, surveys, and other events.
- Rent for grantee owned facilities
- Award ceremonies
- Receptions
- Application writing costs
- Meals –

Meals are allowable when they are part of the cost of meetings and conferences, the primary purpose of which is the dissemination of technical information (in such instances the cost of transportation, rental of facilities, speakers' fees, and other items incidental to such meetings or conferences are also allowable). On the other hand, business meals may not be charged as project costs when individuals decide to go to breakfast, lunch, or dinner together when no need exists for continuity of a meeting. Such activity is considered to be an entertainment cost.

Note: Meals consumed while in official travel status do not fall in this category. They are considered to be per diem expenses and should be reimbursed in accordance with the organization's established travel policies subject to statutory limitations.

Appendix B

EXTENSION RISK MANAGEMENT EDUCATION COMPETITIVE GRANTS PROGRAM APPLICATION PACKAGE CHECKLIST

- 1. Content of Application Package** – Each section of the application in the RVS should be completed to include:
 - a. Project Information
 - b. Project People
 - c. Project Overview
 - d. Underserved Audience
 - e. Proposed Results
 - f. Project Steps
 - g. Project Results Narrative
 - h. Audience Emphasis
 - i. Organizational Capacity
 - j. Project Team
 - k. Project Collaborators
 - l. Tools and Curriculum
 - m. Total Amount Requested
 - n. Budget Narrative
 - o. Required Documents

- 2. ERME Budget Form** – upload as PDF under Required Documents and confirm that the file uploads correctly.

- 3. Signed ERME Cover Sheet (SF-424)** – upload as PDF under Required Documents and confirm that the file uploads correctly.

- 4. Collaborator Letters of Commitment**
 - a. A letter is required from each listed collaborator that clearly states their contributing role, expertise, and/or knowledge that will support the delivery of proposed results (upload as PDF under Project Collaborators).

- 5. IF the Project Includes Consultants**
 - a. Letter of Commitment from each consultant outlining role, hours and rate of pay.
 - b. Abbreviated (2-page maximum) Resume or Curriculum Vitae for each consultant.
 - c. Upload complete package as PDF under Project Team or Project Collaborators.

- 6. IF the Project Includes a Sub-Award**
 - a. Sub-award Budget Form.
 - b. Separate detailed Budget Narrative.
 - c. Letter of Commitment from the sub-award organization outlining the statement of work for that organization.
 - d. Upload complete package as PDF under Project Team or Project Collaborators.

SUBMIT your application prior to the deadline specified in your region's RFA.